



DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY  
U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS, ST. PAUL DISTRICT  
332 MINNESOTA STREET, SUITE E1500  
ST. PAUL, MN 55101-1323

[MVP]

[10 JUN 2024]

MEMORANDUM FOR RECORD

SUBJECT: US Army Corps of Engineers (Corps) Approved Jurisdictional Determination in accordance with the “Revised Definition of ‘Waters of the United States’”; (88 FR 3004 (January 18, 2023) as amended by the “Revised Definition of ‘Waters of the United States’; Conforming” (8 September 2023) ,<sup>1</sup> [2023-01481-TJH MFR 1 of 1]<sup>2</sup>

BACKGROUND. An Approved Jurisdictional Determination (AJD) is a Corps document stating the presence or absence of waters of the United States on a parcel or a written statement and map identifying the limits of waters of the United States on a parcel. AJDs are clearly designated appealable actions and will include a basis of JD with the document.<sup>3</sup> AJDs are case-specific and are typically made in response to a request. AJDs are valid for a period of five years unless new information warrants revision of the determination before the expiration date or a District Engineer has identified, after public notice and comment, that specific geographic areas with rapidly changing environmental conditions merit re-verification on a more frequent basis.<sup>4</sup>

On January 18, 2023, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and the Department of the Army (“the agencies”) published the “Revised Definition of ‘Waters of the United States,’” 88 FR 3004 (January 18, 2023) (“2023 Rule”). On September 8, 2023, the agencies published the “Revised Definition of ‘Waters of the United States’; Conforming”, which amended the 2023 Rule to conform to the 2023 Supreme Court decision in *Sackett v. EPA*, 598 U.S., 143 S. Ct. 1322 (2023) (“*Sackett*”).

This Memorandum for Record (MFR) constitutes the basis of jurisdiction for a Corps AJD as defined in 33 CFR §331.2. For the purposes of this AJD, we have relied on Section 10 of the Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899 (RHA),<sup>5</sup> the 2023 Rule as amended,

---

<sup>1</sup> While the Revised Definition of “Waters of the United States”; Conforming had no effect on some categories of waters covered under the CWA, and no effect on any waters covered under RHA, all categories are included in this Memorandum for Record for efficiency.

<sup>2</sup> When documenting aquatic resources within the review area that are jurisdictional under the Clean Water Act (CWA), use an additional MFR and group the aquatic resources on each MFR based on the TNW, the territorial seas, or interstate water that they are connected to. Be sure to provide an identifier to indicate when there are multiple MFRs associated with a single AJD request (i.e., number them 1, 2, 3, etc.).

<sup>3</sup> 33 CFR 331.2.

<sup>4</sup> Regulatory Guidance Letter 05-02.

<sup>5</sup> USACE has authority under both Section 9 and Section 10 of the Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899 but for convenience, in this MFR, jurisdiction under RHA will be referred to as Section 10.

MVP

SUBJECT: 2023 Rule, as amended, Approved Jurisdictional Determination in Light of *Sackett v. EPA*, 143 S. Ct. 1322 (2023), [[MVP-2023-01481-TJH](#)]

as well as other applicable guidance, relevant case law, and longstanding practice in evaluating jurisdiction.

## 1. SUMMARY OF CONCLUSIONS.

- a. Provide a list of each individual feature within the review area and the jurisdictional status of each one (i.e., identify whether each feature is/is not a water of the United States and/or a navigable water of the United States).
  - i. [Wet Ditch 6, non-jurisdictional](#)

## 2. REFERENCES.

- a. "Revised Definition of 'Waters of the United States,'" 88 FR 3004 (January 18, 2023) ("2023 Rule")
- b. "Revised Definition of 'Waters of the United States'; Conforming" 88 FR 61964 (September 8, 2023))
- c. *Sackett v. EPA*, 598 U.S. \_\_, 143 S. Ct. 1322 (2023)

## 3. REVIEW AREA. [The review area for this determination includes one roadside ditch labeled as Wet Ditch 6 on the attached figures labeled 2023-01481-TJH Figures 3-4 of 4. The review area is located along an approximately 4,975 linear feet of County State Aid Highway \(CSAH\) 22 \(Viking Boulevard\) between Trunk Highway \(TH\) 47 \(St. Francis Boulevard\) and CSAH 7 \(Rum River Boulevard\). The project area is in Sections 24 & 25, Township 33 North, Range 24 West. \(Latitude: 45.32768, - 93.390168\).](#)

## 4. NEAREST TRADITIONAL NAVIGABLE WATER (TNW), THE TERRITORIAL SEAS, OR INTERSTATE WATER TO WHICH THE AQUATIC RESOURCE IS CONNECTED. [[N/A](#)]<sup>6</sup>

## 5. FLOWPATH FROM THE SUBJECT AQUATIC RESOURCES TO A TNW, THE TERRITORIAL SEAS, OR INTERSTATE WATER. [[N/A](#)]

---

<sup>6</sup> This MFR should not be used to complete a new stand-alone TNW determination. A stand-alone TNW determination for a water that is not subject to Section 9 or 10 of the Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899 (RHA) is completed independently of a request for an AJD. A stand-alone TNW determination is conducted for a specific segment of river or stream or other type of waterbody, such as a lake, where upstream or downstream limits or lake borders are established.

MVP

SUBJECT: 2023 Rule, as amended, Approved Jurisdictional Determination in Light of *Sackett v. EPA*, 143 S. Ct. 1322 (2023), [[MVP-2023-01481-TJH](#)]

6. SECTION 10 JURISDICTIONAL WATERS<sup>7</sup>: Describe aquatic resources or other features within the review area determined to be jurisdictional in accordance with Section 10 of the Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899. Include the size of each aquatic resource or other feature within the review area and how it was determined to be jurisdictional in accordance with Section 10.<sup>8</sup> [[N/A](#)]
7. SECTION 404 JURISDICTIONAL WATERS: Describe the aquatic resources within the review area that were found to meet the definition of waters of the United States in accordance with the 2023 Rule as amended, consistent with the Supreme Court's decision in *Sackett*. List each aquatic resource separately, by name, consistent with the naming convention used in section 1, above. Include a rationale for each aquatic resource, supporting that the aquatic resource meets the relevant category of "waters of the United States" in the 2023 Rule as amended. The rationale should also include a written description of, or reference to a map in the administrative record that shows, the lateral limits of jurisdiction for each aquatic resource, including how that limit was determined, and incorporate relevant references used. Include the size of each aquatic resource in acres or linear feet and attach and reference related figures as needed.
  - a. Traditional Navigable Waters (TNWs) (a)(1)(i): [[N/A](#)]
  - b. The Territorial Seas (a)(1)(ii): [[N/A](#)]
  - c. Interstate Waters (a)(1)(iii): [[N/A](#)]
  - d. Impoundments (a)(2): [[N/A](#)]
  - e. Tributaries (a)(3): [[N/A](#)]
  - f. Adjacent Wetlands (a)(4): [[N/A](#)]
  - g. Additional Waters (a)(5): [[N/A](#)]

## 8. NON-JURISDICTIONAL AQUATIC RESOURCES AND FEATURES

---

<sup>7</sup> 33 CFR 329.9(a) A waterbody which was navigable in its natural or improved state, or which was susceptible of reasonable improvement (as discussed in § 329.8(b) of this part) retains its character as "navigable in law" even though it is not presently used for commerce, or is presently incapable of such use because of changed conditions or the presence of obstructions.

<sup>8</sup> This MFR is not to be used to make a report of findings to support a determination that the water is a navigable water of the United States. The district must follow the procedures outlined in 33 CFR part 329.14 to make a determination that water is a navigable water of the United States subject to Section 10 of the RHA.

MVP

SUBJECT: 2023 Rule, as amended, Approved Jurisdictional Determination in Light of *Sackett v. EPA*, 143 S. Ct. 1322 (2023), [[MVP-2023-01481-TJH](#)]

- a. Describe aquatic resources and other features within the review area identified in the 2023 Rule as amended as not “waters of the United States” even where they otherwise meet the terms of paragraphs (a)(2) through (5). Include the type of excluded aquatic resource or feature, the size of the aquatic resource or feature within the review area and describe how it was determined to meet one of the exclusions listed in 33 CFR 328.3(b).<sup>9</sup> [Wet Ditch 6 is a roadside ditch constructed in uplands along the CSAH 22 right of way as reviewed using US Geological Service 3DEP Hillshade and DEM maps; MNDNR 2-foot contour maps; MNDNR NWI Wetland Finder/NWI maps; NHD data; and NRCS hydric soil data. Recent aerial photos do not show relatively permanent flow. Based on the desktop resources reviewed, this aquatic resource was excavated wholly in and draining only uplands and does not carry a relatively permanent flow of water and, according to exclusion \(b\)\(3\) of the 2023 Rule, as amended, is not a water of the United States.](#)
  - b. Describe aquatic resources and features within the review area that were determined to be non-jurisdictional because they do not meet one or more categories of waters of the United States under the 2023 Rule as amended (e.g., tributaries that are non-relatively permanent waters; non-tidal wetlands that do not have a continuous surface connection to a jurisdictional water).  
[\[N/A\]](#)
9. DATA SOURCES. List sources of data/information used in making determination. Include titles and dates of sources used and ensure that information referenced is available in the administrative record.
- a. [State Project S.A.P. 002-622-042 Anoka County Transportation Department CSAH 7 & 22, Level 1 Wetland Delineation Report Dated June 27, 2022](#)
  - b. [Office evaluation conducted on May 23 and 24, 2024](#)
  - c. [USGS LiDAR, 3DEP Elevation Model, USGS TNM – National Hydrography Dataset, USFWS NWI Maps, and USDA/NRCS Soil Survey Maps.](#)
10. OTHER SUPPORTING INFORMATION. [\[N/A\]](#)
11. NOTE: The structure and format of this MFR were developed in coordination with the EPA and Department of the Army. The MFR’s structure and format may be subject to future modification or may be rescinded as needed to implement

---


<sup>9</sup> 88 FR 3004 (January 18, 2023)

MVP

SUBJECT: 2023 Rule, as amended, Approved Jurisdictional Determination in Light of *Sackett v. EPA*, 143 S. Ct. 1322 (2023), [[MVP-2023-01481-TJH](#)]

additional guidance from the agencies; however, the approved jurisdictional determination described herein is a final agency action.

Path: X:\AE\ANOKA\161087\3-env-atdy-regis\30-env-doc\00-wetlands\2022 Projects\CSAH 22\Map\FIGURE 1 LOCATION.mxd



3535 VADNAIS CENTER DR.  
ST. PAUL, MN 55110  
PHONE: (651) 490-2000  
FAX: (651) 490-2150  
WATTS: 800-325-2055  
www.sehinc.com

Project: ANOKC 161087  
Print Date: 11/10/2023


Map by: amack  
Projection: UTM NAD 83 ZONE 15N  
Source: Google, SEH, ESRI, USDA,  
NRCS, USGS, MnDNR, MnGeo

**SITE LOCATION**  
CSAH 22  
ANOKA COUNTY, MINNESOTA

Figure  
1



Path: X:\AE\ANOKA\161087\3-env-study-regis\30-env-doc\00-wetlands\2022 Projects\CSAH 22\Maps\FIGURE 2 AERIAL PHOTOGRAPHY.mxd



3535 VADNAIS CENTER DR.  
ST. PAUL, MN 55110  
PHONE: (651) 490-2000  
FAX: (651) 490-2150  
WATTS: 800-325-2055  
www.sehinc.com

Project: ANOKC 161087  
Print Date: 11/10/2023

Map by: amack  
Projection: UTM NAD 83 ZONE 15N  
Source: Google, SEH, ESRI, USDA,  
NRCS, USGS, MnDNR, MnGeo

**2021 AERIAL PHOTOGRAPHY**  
CSAH 22  
ANOKA COUNTY, MINNESOTA

Figure  
2



## CSAH 22 WETLAND IMPACTS





